

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/235,242 01/22/99 FRIEDRICH 48746

HM12/0424

KEIL & WEINKAUF 1101 CONNECTICUT AVENUE N W WASHINGTON DC 20036

EXAMINER STOCKTON, L				
1626	19			

DATE MAILED:

04/24/00

## Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

## **ADVISORY ACTION**

×	THE	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:			
a)		is extended to run	or continues to run	from the date of the final rejection	
b) ]	¤	expires three months from the cevent however, will the statutor	late of the final rejection or as of the may period for the response expire later the	ailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no han six months from the date of the final rejection.	
		The date on which the response purposes of determining the per	e, the petition, and the fee have been fried of extension and the corresponding	FR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee, filed is the date of the response and also the date for the g amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR tutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	
	Apı	pellant's Brief is due in accordan	ce with 37 CFR 1.192(a).		
X		plicant's response to the final rejector of the application in condition	ection, filed 4117 2500 has be for allowance:	een considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed	
1.		The proposed amendments to the	e daim and /or specification will not be	e entered and the final rejection stands because:	
		a. There is no convincing sh presented.	owing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the	proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier	
		b. They raise new issues that	it would require further consideration ar	nd/or search. (See Note).	
		c. They raise the issue of ne	w matter. (See Note).		
		d. They are not deemed to appeal.	place the application in better form for	appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for	
		e. They present additional of	laims without cancelling a corresponding	ng number of finally rejected claims.	
		NOTE:			
				-	
2.		Newly proposed or amended of the non-allowable claims.	aims would be alk	owed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling	
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment  will be entered  will not be entered and the be as follows:				ed  will not be entered and the status of the claims will	
		Claims allowed:			
		•			
		Claims rejected:		<del></del>	
		_	vercome the following rejection(s):		
				<u> </u>	
4.	*	The affidavit, exhibit or request	for reconsideration has been considered to Paper No	ed but does not overcome the rejection because 107	
5.		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
<u> </u>		presented.	] had [] had an had a	Laure J. Stockton	
_		· ·	has has not been approved by	rine examiner.	
۱,	Othe	er		LAURA L. STOCKTON	

09/235,242